IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EDWIN RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff,

V.

: Case No. 3:14-cv-111-KRG-KAP

S.C.I. SOMERSET, et al.,

Defendants

Report and Recommendation

Plaintiff's "Motion For Reconsideration..." at docket no. 8, see Fed.R.Civ.P. 59, was referred to me under 28 U.S.C.\$ 636(b)(3). The motion should be denied.

Plaintiff does not suggest an intervening change in controlling law or the availability of new evidence, and does not show the need to correct a clear error of law or prevent manifest injustice. See <u>Wiest v. Lynch</u>, 710 F.3d 121, 128 (3d Cir.2013) (discussing three purposes of motion under Fed.R.Civ.P. 59). The motion simply argues the court was wrong in finding his complaint inadequate to state a federal claim.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§ 636(b)(1), the parties are given notice that they have fourteen days to serve and file written objections to this Report and Recommendation.

DATE: 19 August 7014

Keith A. Pesto.

United States Magistrate Judge

Notice to counsel of record by ECF and by U.S. Mail to:

Edwin Rodriguez KC-0233 S.C.I. Somerset 1600 Walters Mill Road Somerset, PA 15510